

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vizginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/042,749	12/04/2002	Surjit J. Chadha	100718.409/MIC-54CN/DV1	1559	
23483	7590 08/12/2003				
HALE AND DORR, LLP 60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			EXAMINER RAMSEY, KENNETH J		
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^		Application No.	Applicant(s)	M			
ر		10/042,749	CHADHA ET AL.	1			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kenneth J. Ramsey	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) 🗌	'	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	naliantian					
	Claim(s) <u>10 and 13-21</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
<u> </u>		WIT HOTH CONSIDERATION.					
	5)						
) Claim(s) 10,15,16,18,20 and 21 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,14,17 and 19</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
· ·	on Papers	cicolon requirement.					
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/042,749

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Prior Art Rejections

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 10, 15, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Xie et al, 5,531,880. This patent teaches the use of a conductive/semiconductive binder, i.e. potassium silicate, to adhere phosphor to an indium tin oxide anode of a field emission display. See column 3, lines 26-45, and column 6, lines 15-56. As to claim 18, silicate is a transparent material.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xie et al as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Casper et al (5,210,472). These claims further add the common structure of a field emission display As shown by Casper et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ such a structure in Xie et al since it is obvious that the screen of Xie et al is meant to be used with the well-known field emission display (column 1, lines 35-41 of Xie).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,749

Art Unit: 2879

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 14, 17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 13, 14 and 17 are allowable since the prior art does not teach or suggest the specific binders recited in these claims for adhering phosphor to a screen of a field emission display or heating the binder material to form a conductive and/or semiconductive oxides.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fujita and Trond et al show that polyvinyl alcohol is a common binder for phosphor screens. Yamaura et al shows that polyvinyl alcohol binders are compatible with low velocity electron excited phosphors (such as would be used in field emission displays).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Kenneth Ramsey at telephone number 703-308-2324.

KENNETH J. RAMSEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jemet / Romsly

Page 3